# Unlocking the Web with Programmable Cryptography

@GoblinOats @TonkLabs

# Introduction

Goblin

- Co-founder of Tonk
- Did some ML @ Yahoo
- Did some HCI/Dev tools @ Deco Software 🔁 Airbnb
- Did some Art @ Willow Common Studio

Tonk

- Liberate the Web
- Work: Dappicom, Tonk Attack, Gribi SDK, Speakeasy

#### News flash



#### The world has problems



#### Network protocols solve these problems!



# This happened



#### And this happened



The Rise of Defi, from TRASTRAblog

### Meanwhile on the Internet



Cartoon from The Oatmeal by Matthew Inman

### Now the Internet is dying



#### And a new one struggles to be born



#### Demo



What is bazbot?

ѽ

Bazbot is a demonstration of an application made with the Tinyfoot development framework. It is a type of digital twin that is individualised, meaning others can interact with it. The data that Bazbot uses comes from Tonk's notion and Baz's personal notes. Interaction with Bazbot is only possible if you are recognized as a friend of Tonk, which is determined through a blockchain-based approach involving salted hashes of public keys. There are also means in place such as Semaphore and Merkle tree membership proofs to authenticate someone as a friend of Tonk. This concept has been developed by Tonk, a London-based startup, as part of an access management system for their experiments. In essence, Bazbot showcases the capabilities of the Tinyfoot framework, decontralized identity and access management.

Type in your message...

#### BazBot

# Example of **personal software** using **personal data** for my **personal network**

# **Other Examples**

- Social media just for my friends and family without shock content
- Private app to track health and share with family, doctor
- Collaborative note-taking, but the notes are all local to your device
- Sync all of our calendars to find the next RWC event

How can software and the networks that power it be that personal?

#### Basic Affordances of Programmable Cryptography

# SQUISHY

# **SNARKY**

# PRIVY

#### Squishyness: it's programmable stupid!

#### MAKE THE STUFF TALK TO THE OTHER STUFF



Diagram of Gribi SDK verified data flows, by Tonk Labs (2024)

```
/**
```

\* All PCDs consist of a "claim", which is the human-interpretable statement \* that the PCD is making (i.e. "I am a Zuzalu resident"); and a "proof" attached \* to the "claim," which is a cryptographic or mathematical proof of the claim. \* A PCD consists of only data. The code and algorithms associated with each type \* of PCD lives in that PCD namespaces corresponding module or package. The package \* exposes, among other things, `prove` and `verify` functions for each type, which allow you to \* create new instances of the PCD and, and verify that instances of the PCD are \* indeed correct respectively.

#### \*/

#### export interface PCD<C = unknown, P = unknown> {

/\*>

\* Encodes all the information necessary to identify this PCD and its corresponding package.
\*/

uri: PCDURI;

#### /\*\*

\* Information encoded in this PCD that is intended to be consumed by the \* business logic of some application. For example, a type of PCD that could \* exist is one that is able to prove that its creator knows the prime factorization \* of a really big number. In that case, the really big number would be the claim, \* and a ZK proof of its prime factorization would go in the {@link PCD#proof}. \* \*/ claim: C; /\*\* \* A cryptographic or mathematical proof of the {@link PCD#claim}. \*/ proof: P;

#### Forked PCD interface in Gribi SDK, by Tonk Labs (2024)



Signed POD merkelization, from <u>Devcon talk A Deep Dive into ZK Proofs of PODs</u>

#### Basic Affordances of Programmable Cryptography

# SQUISHY - It's programmable!

# SNARKY

### PRIVY

### Snarkyness is magical scaling

#### The obvious thing

BEEFY ZKVM

# PROOF ON CHAIN





Illustrations from Dappicom release, by Tonk Labs. Illustrator Hi-Bred. (2023)

#### All the good work



#### On the Size of Pairing-based Non-interactive Arguments\*

Jens Groth\*\*

University College London, UK j.groth@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract. Non-interactive arguments enable a prover to convince a verifier that a statement is true. Recently there has been a lot of progress both in theory and practice on constructing highly efficient non-interactive arguments with small size and low verification complexity, so-called succeinct non-interactive arguments (SNARGs) and succinct non-interactive arguments of knowledge (SNARKs).

Many constructions of SNARGs rely on pairing-based cryptography. In these constructions a proof consists of a number of group elements and the verification consists of checking a number of pairing product equations. The question we address in this article is how efficient pairing-based SNARGs can be.

Our first contribution is a pairing-based (preprocessing) SNARK for arithmetic circuit satisfiability, which is an NP-complete language. In our SNARK we work with asymmetric pairings for higher efficiency, a proof is only 3 group elements, and verification consists of checking a single pairing product equations using 3 pairings in total. Our SNARK is zero-knowledge and does not reveal anything about the witness the prover uses to make the proof.

As our second contribution we answer an open question of Bitansky, Chiesa, Ishai, Ostrovsky and Paneth (TCC 2013) by showing that 2-move linear interactive proofs cannot have a linear decision procedure. It follows from this that SNARGs where the prover and verifier use generic asymmetric bilinear group operations cannot consist of a single group element. This gives the first lower bound for pairing-based SNARGs. It remains an intriguing open problem whether this lower bound can be extended to rule out 2 group element SNARGs, which would prove optimality of our 3 element construction.

Keywords: SNARKs, non-interactive zero-knowledge arguments, linear interactive proofs, quadratic arithmetic programs, bilinear groups.

Diagram from RiscZero's blog post in 2024, Designing High-Performance zkVMs

#### Snarky + Squishy!

#### Proof-Carrying Data and Hearsay Arguments from Signature Cards

Alessandro Chiesa\* Eran Tromer Massachusetts Institute of Technology Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory 32 Vassar St., Cambridge, MA 02139, USA {alexch,tromer}@csail.mit.edu

. . .

.

#### Snarky + Squishy!



Chiesa, A. (2009). Example of an augmented distributed computation transcript: Proof-Carrying Data.

#### Compressing chains of signatures in OCAP



Chained Attenuation and UCAN diagram. <u>UCAN working group</u> and A presentation at <u>UCAN for FileCoin</u> in August 2021 in by <u>Brooklyn</u> Zelenka





Basic Affordances of Programmable Cryptography

# SQUISHY - It's programmable!

# + SNARKY - distributed compute.



### Privyness — new power unlocked!

### The obvious thing



Tonk Attack, a hidden-information game built in Playmint's game Downstream by Tonk Labs. (2023)

### All the good work







Paper 2022/878

# zk-creds: Flexible Anonymous Credentials from zkSNARKs and Existing Identity Infrastructure

Michael Rosenberg, University of Maryland Jacob White, Purdue University Christina Garman, Purdue University Ian Miers, University of Maryland

#### Privy + Squishy!



Cryptographic adapter. From 0xParc's essay, Programmable Cryptography (Part 1)

### What if ? zkTLS + ZKML + 2PC + MATRIX CHAT

#### **Break into secret vibe-chests**

	▼ Lock your secret away	
	I. First, write something down that you want     to hide away     Enter secret text here	
	2. Give your vibe-chest a name Everyone can see this	
	3. Lock it up ► How this works: Enter URL here <u>Submit</u>	
	4. Share it out	
My dirty little secret	рр	Hot take
6.3	••••	••••
7 people have passed a vibe-check	2 people have passed a vibe-check	1 people have passed a vibe-check
Try to open	Try to open	Try to open

Match Experiment, a playful PFP demo built by Tonk Labs (2025)

Basic Affordances of Programmable Cryptography

# SQUISHY - It's programmable!

# + SNARKY - distributed compute.

+ PRIVY - distributed data.



"Trusted Third Party" model. From Nick Szabo's 1997 essay, The God Protocols



"Mathematically Trustworthy Protocol" model. From Nick Szabo's 1997 essay, The God Protocols

# (Inter)Personal Networks



The command line wall. From Maggie Appleton's Essay on Home-Cooked Software

# THE COMMAND



Barefoot dev cybernetic loop. From Maggie Appleton's Essay on Home-Cooked Software

#### **Personal Software**

#### An Internet that is radically personal



#### Wait, wait, wait, wait, wait, wait

- SRS is too big for my phone!
- Proving time is sooo slow
- FHE is even slower!
- MPC ???

# Hockey sticks everywhere





Header from a blog post by Sam Altman in 2021, Moore's Law for Everything

#### Human Scale



# Dunbar's Number

the max number of relationships a person can maintain

Wikipedia, Schéma représentant différentes quantités de relations sociales stables, Nombre de Dunbar

### Which party would you like to join?





- 30% tax on transactions
- Psychological warfare content
- Restricted movement



- You are an owner, beneficiary
- Free markets push fees down
- Opt-in content, it's your party
- Freedom of movement

After the death of the first internet, lots of tiny new internets will grow up in its place.

— Philip Rosedale, creator of Second Life

### More information

- <u>https://tinyfoot.tonk.xyz</u>
- <u>https://tonk.xyz</u>
- https://goblinoats.com/posts/end-of-internet

@GoblinOats

@TonkLabs