

Covercrypt

A Traceable Attribute-Based Encryption with PQ/T Hybrid Security

David Pointcheval (Cosmian) Joint work with Théophile Brézot, Chloé Hébant and Paola de Perthuis

Cosmian February 18th, 2025 - RWC Paris Meeting up

- Data Centric Security

Public-Key Encryption (PKE)

With PKE, a data owner can encrypt for a **specific** target recipient user, from his ID/Public Key But one may want to target **groups** of users, according to their roles/activities/status/rights.

Attribute-Based Encryption (ABE)

ABE has been proposed for this general task:

- users (their keys) and data (their encryptions) are associated to attributes Y and policies P
- Attributes $\mathbf{Y} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$, a statement of Boolean variables (true or false)
- Policy **P** = a Boolean formula on these variables

if **P**(**Y**) is true, the user can access the data (i.e. the key can decrypt the ciphertext)

Two kinds of ABE have been defined:

- Key-Policy ABE: the key depends on the policy, and the ciphertext is associated to attributes
- Ciphertext-Policy ABE: the key is associated to attributes, and the ciphertext depends on the policy

- Access-Structures



Complex Access Structures

- **Y** = {Prof, Physics} : OK
- Y = {Student, Physics} : KO
- P could even be non-monotonous (with NOT-gates)
 - [GPSW06]: KP-ABE
 - [BSW07]: CP-ABE

Require either

- Pairing-friendly curves for ECDH
- Huge ciphertexts with LWE

Unit/Country	France	UK	Germany	Spain	
Finance					
Marketing				3	
Human Res.					
Sales			2	3	

Real-World Access Structures

- Multi-dimensional structure
- Independent or hierarchical values
- Right = point in the space (e.g. UK-Sales)
- Attribute = set of points/rights (e.g. 1)

- Overview

Main objectives

- Encompass most of the natural use-cases
- Post-quantum transition
- Compact ciphertexts
- Crypto agility

Covercrypt

- Use of optimal subset-cover of the rights for short ciphertexts
- KEM/DEM hybrid approach for efficiency
 - KEM to be combined with any Authenticated Encryption as DEM
- PQ/T hybrid KEM for security (PQ migration)
- Construction with any KEMs in black-box

-An AB-KEM from any KEM

A Key Encapsulation Mechanism

Formalism

- KEM.KeyGen(1^k): key generation with output (*pk*,*sk*)
- KEM.Enc(*pk*): **encapsulation** with output (*E*,*K*)
- KEM.Dec(*sk*,*E*): decapsulation of *E* with output *K*

Security

• SK-IND = Session-Key Indistinguishability: $(E,K) \approx (E,\$)$

Privacy of the session key Anonymity

• PK-IND = Public-Key Indistinguishability: $(E,pk) \approx (E,pk')$

Attributes and AB-KEM

For any attribute **a** in the universe **A**: For any user *U* with attributes $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{A}$: For an **encapsulation** under attributes $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{A}$: $\mathbf{K}_U = \{ sk_a, \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{Y} \}$ For an **encapsulation** under attributes $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{A}$: $E = \{ (E_a, F_a), \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{X} \}$ with $K \leftarrow \mathbf{K}$, and $(E_a, K_a) \leftarrow \text{KEM.Enc}(pk_a), F_a \leftarrow K \oplus K_a$ For **decapsulation** under a key for $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{A}$: if $\mathbf{X} \cap \mathbf{Y} \neq \emptyset$, there is at least a common **a** in $\mathbf{X} \cap \mathbf{Y}$ $K_a \leftarrow \text{KEM.Dec}(sk_a, E_a)$, and $K \leftarrow F_a \oplus K_a$

- An AB-KEM from any KEM: Security and Efficiency

AB-KEM

- For an encapsulation under attributes X ⊂ A: E = { (E_a, F_a), a ∈ X } with K ← K, and (E_a, K_a) ← KEM.Enc(pk_a), F_a ← K ⊕ K_a, for all a ∈ X
- For decapsulation under a key for $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{A}$: if there is at least a common \mathbf{a} in $\mathbf{X} \cap \mathbf{Y}$ $K_a \leftarrow \text{KEM.Dec}(sk_a, E_a)$, and $K \leftarrow F_a \oplus K_a$

Security

- SK-IND-CPA KEM ⇒ SK-IND-CPA AB-KEM: Session-key privacy
- PK-IND-CPA KEM ⇒ AC-IND-CPA AB-KEM: Access-control privacy
- For an **encapsulation** under $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{A}$: $E = \{ V, (E_a, F_a), a \in \mathbf{X} \}$ with S random, and $(E_a, K_a) \leftarrow \text{KEM.Enc}(pk_a), F_a \leftarrow S \oplus \mathcal{H}(K_a, \{E_a\}_a)$, for all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{X}$, then $(K, V) \leftarrow \mathcal{H}(S, \{(E_a, F_a)\}_a)$
- For decapsulation under a key for Y ⊂ A, check for all the possible pairs (b∈Y, a)
 K" ← KEM.Dec(sk_b, E_a), and S" ← F_a ⊕ H(K", {E_a}_a), then (K', V') ← H'(S", { (E_a, F_a)}: if V' = V we have K' = K

CCA KEM ⇒ CCA AB-KEM and efficient decryption

How to find the good **a**?

CCA Security?

PQ/T Hybridization of KEMs



if and only if (E',K')= KEM'.Enc(pk',G(S))

Security

- KEM is CCA
- KEM' + FO transform is CCA : from any Non-Interactive Key Exchange (NIKE)
- Combination is CCA

KEM = ML-KEM (D-MLWE)
KEM' = Hash-ECDH

(EC-CDH)

CCA Hybrid Security

- Additional Features

Traceability

Data Centric Security: Keys are only specific to attributes/rights, but not to users In the Diffie-Hellman (NIKE) part, one can efficiently specialize keys for users, to identify abuses On can then use the **Boneh-Franklin traceability** mechanism (Crypto '99) to deal with coalitions of traitors

- white-box traceability (find a least one traitor from the extracted key)
- black-box confirmation (confirm a candidate list of traitors just interacting with the pirate box)

```
Tool for Policy Conversion
```

For a Ciphertext-Policy AB-KEM, one needs to convert a policy into a small set X: efficient tool

Policy: {
 Security: {
 None,
 Medium,
 High
 },
 Country: {
 France,
 Germany,
 UK,
 Spain
 }

According to the expected meaningful target sets of right, one will generate multiple attributes, to reduce the number of attributes at encryption time: **smaller encapsulations, short encapsulation and decapsulation times**

- Benchmarks

Encapsulation Size

- ECDH on R25519 + MLKEM512 : 16.(2t + 3) + 800.|X| bytes
- ECDH on R25519 + MLKEM768 : **16.(2***t* **+ 3) + 1120.|X|** bytes

where *t* is the tracing threshold and **X** is the target set of rights: **X** aimed to be small

Timings (on an M1 CPU):

- ECDH on R25519 + MLKEM512
 - Encapsulation : ~100 μ s for |X| = 1 (+45 μ s per additional attribute)
 - Decapsulation : $\sim 250 \mu s$ for |Y| = 12 (and |X| = 1), on average
- ECDH on R25519 + MLKEM768
 - Encapsulation : ~110 μ s for |X| = 1 (+55 μ s per additional attribute)
 - Decapsulation : $\sim 260 \mu s$ for |Y| = 12 (and |X| = 1), on average

Conclusion

Covercrypt

- Efficient AB-KEM with PQ/T hybridization and CCA security
 - with any KEMs in black-box
 - conversion from policies to sets of rights
- Traceability
- Full key life-cycle management
 - when removing some user rights
 - when adding some dimensions or attributes in the system
 - etc
- Approved by ETSI as a Standard: to be published soon
 with ECDH and MLKEM
- Formal security analysis: available soon on ePrint
- Implementation available on Public Cosmian GitHub
 - quite efficient with Ristretto25519 and ML-KEM512/768/1024

https://github.com/Cosmian https://docs.cosmian.com/